

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/05 (4/98)
Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 476-1843

First Inventor or Application Identifier Bevan

Title Synchronisation Modelling Using Templates And Network.....

Express Mail Label No. EL 350 751 473 US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

1. ☒ * Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 16]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
 - Descriptive title of the Invention
 - Cross References to Related Applications
 - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
 - Reference to Microfiche Appendix
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim(s)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 6]
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 4]
 - a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
 - b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)
 - i. ☐ DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)
Signed statement attached deleting
inventor(s) named in the prior application,
see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission
(if applicable, all necessary)
 - a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
 - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
 - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

7. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement of Power of Attorney
(when there is an assignee)
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
10. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 [Copies of IDS Citations]
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
12. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
(Should be specifically itemized)
13. ☐ * Small Entity Statement(s) filed in prior application,
(PTO/SB/09-12) Status still proper and desired
14. ☒ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
(if foreign priority is claimed)
15. ☐ Other:

* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: _____

Prior application information: Examiner _____ Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label (Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here) or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	William M. Lee, Jr.			
	Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson			
Address	P.O. Box 2786			
City	Chicago	State	Illinois	Zip Code 60690-2786
Country	USA	Telephone	(312) 368-1300	Fax (312) 368-0034

Name (Print/Type)	William M. Lee, Jr.	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	26,935
Signature	<i>William M. Lee</i>	Date	8/30/99

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231

Synchronisation Modelling Using Templates and Network Management System

Field of the Invention

- 5 The present invention relates to network management and particularly, although not exclusively, to management of a communications network.

Background to the Invention

- 10 A conventional communications network, for example a broadband communications network comprises a plurality of physical resources, e.g. switches, cross-connects, regenerators, repeaters, transmission links such as fibre optic links or coaxial cable links, operating under control of a plurality of logical resources, e.g. transport protocols, and local controls associated with individual physical resources. The physical resources are located at a plurality of nodes or network elements and links
- 15 which are distributed over a geographical area. A network operator maintains control of a communications network for its operation, administration and maintenance, utilising a management information base which stores information describing the physical and logical resources within the network. The management information base describes each network element within the network, which in a conventional network
- 20 may be in the order of hundreds of individual network elements, e.g. switches, cross-connects, regenerators, each of which contains of the order of tens to hundreds of cards, having processes, line terminations, buffers, registers, switch fabrics, etc. In general, a conventional communications network may comprise a multitude of different legacy equipment types of different proprietary manufacture, each of which
- 25 has its own particular internal configuration and offers its own specific capability.

- The information in the management information base is used to assist the network operator to identify and locate problems within the network such as a broken transmission link or failed processor for example.

- 30 The International Telegraph and Telephone Consultative Committee (CCITT) of the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) in their Recommendation G.774 published September 1992 (available from International Telecommunications Union, General Secretariat, Sales Service, Place de Nation, Ch1211, Geneva 20, Switzerland), specifies a recommended architecture of an information model for Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) network. In Recommendation G.774, there is specified a model which describes managed object classes and their properties which are useful for describing information exchanged across interfaces to find in
- 35

Recommendation M.3010, Telecommunications Network Management (TNM) architecture, also of the ITU-T. Recommendation G.774 identifies the Telecommunications Management Network (TMN) object classes required for the management of SDH network elements, and specialises the generic object classes presented in Recommendation M.3010 to provide management information specifically for synchronous digital hierarchy. These objects are relevant to information exchanged across standardised interfaces defined in Recommendation M.3010 TMN architecture. In Recommendation G.774, network resources are modelled as objects and a management view of a resource is referred to as a managed object. Objects with similar attributes may be grouped in object classes. An object is characterised by its object class and object instance, and may possess multiple attribute types and associated values. Object class is defined in Recommendation G.774 applied to various management areas, for example fault management and configuration management.

Existing methods of obtaining information and managing the synchronisation of synchronous networks rely on network elements within the network selecting the network element connected to it with the best source of synchronisation information. A number of parameters are used to determine the quality of the synchronisation information from each connected NE including the received waveform shape and the number of NE's in the synchronisation trail back to a Primary Reference Source (PRS) - a single definitive timing input into the network. Such an arrangement is described in Jamasebi UK Patent Application No. 9605013.3.

Occasionally sync problems occur on the network caused by for example an optical fibre link between NE's being broken, which results in some NE's within the network drifting off sync with the rest of the network. This problem is dealt with by interrogating the problem NE's to determine their synchronisation sources and, if appropriate, re-assign their synchronisation sources to correct the problem.

Object of the Invention

It is a further object of the present invention to provide an improved method of providing synchronisation information for a communications network.

Summary of the Invention

In a first aspect the present invention provides in a communications network comprising a plurality of network elements, a method of providing management data describing synchronisation trail information for said network elements, said method comprising the steps of:

- 5 obtaining network element synchronisation data;
 obtaining network element connectivity data;
 deriving synchronisation trail information for said network elements from said synchronisation data and said connectivity data.

- 10 In a second aspect the present invention provides a data representation of a physical resource operating in accordance with a protocol having a plurality of layers, the representation further comprising a timing layer representing synchronisation trail information.

- 15 In a third aspect the present invention provides in a communications network comprising a plurality of network elements, said network elements comprising a plurality of physical resources organised into a plurality of types of pre-configured structures, a method of providing management data describing synchronisation trail information of said network elements, comprising the steps of:

- 20 representing said plurality of physical resources by a plurality of reference data;
 and representing synchronisation trails within said network by a plurality of synchronisation reference data.

- 25 In a fourth aspect the present invention provides a management system of managing synchronisation for a network comprising a plurality of physical resources, said system comprising:

- 30 data storage means for storing;
 reference data representing connectivity between said resources;
 and synchronisation reference data representing synchronisation trails to each resource.

- 35 In a fifth aspect the present invention provides a method of exploring synchronisation trails within a network comprising a plurality of network elements, the method comprising the steps of:

- 40 obtaining network element synchronisation data;
 obtaining network element connectivity data;
 deriving synchronisation trail information from a network element and following the trail to the synchronisation source of the element, using said synchronisation data and said connectivity data.

In a sixth aspect the present invention provides a method of displaying information relating to synchronisation trails within a network comprising a plurality of network elements, said method comprising:

- 5 obtaining network element synchronisation data;
 obtaining network element connectivity data;
 deriving synchronisation trail information for said network elements from said synchronisation data and said connectivity data;
 for each synchronisation trail, displaying in graphical form the synchronisation
- 10 trail information from a network element and following the trail to the synchronisation source of the element.

Brief Description of the Drawings

- 15 For a better understanding of the invention and to show how the same may be carried into effect, there will now be described by way of example only, specific embodiments, methods and processes according to the present invention with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

- 20 Figure 1 shows a communications network to which the invention may be applied;

Figure 2 shows the network modelled as multiple layered networks according to the G.803 SDH based transport layered model;

- 25 Figure 3 shows the network management architecture of the network;

Figure 4 shows the synchronisation configuration of an STM-1 ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER;

- 30 Figure 5 shows a flow chart of a preferred synchronisation trail finding algorithm of the invention;

Figure 6 shows an example of a network synchronisation plan;

- 35 Figure 7 shows a second example of a network synchronisation plan;

Figure 8 shows a template representation for a STM-1 ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER; and

Figure 9 shows the sync source points for a STM-1 ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER.

Detailed Description of the Drawings

5 There will now be described by way of example the best mode contemplated by the inventors for carrying out the invention. In the following description numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It will be apparent however, to one skilled in the art, that the present invention may be practised without using the specific details. In other instances, one
10 own methods in structures have not been described in detail so as not to unnecessarily obscure the present invention.

In the following discussion, a best mode implementation of the invention is described with reference to Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) system. However, it will be
15 understood that the scope of the invention is not restricted to SDH systems, but extends over any network of physical and logical resources in the telecommunications or computer networks domains having a management information system. Networks operating Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM), Synchronous Optical Network (SONET), Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) and SDH are specific examples
20 of such networks. However, the invention is not restricted to networks operating these specific protocols.

Within the following description, references are made to terms defined in International Telecommunications Union (ITU-T) Recommendations G.803 and G.805.
25

Referring to Figure 1, an example of a communications network to which the synchronisation management arrangement of the invention can be applied. The network elements 600-605 contained in the network may be from different vendors or manufacturers. The network comprises multiple layer networks for example virtual
30 container 12 VC12 or VC4 as described in G.803 and shows schematically in Figure 2.

A connection may be provided across the network for a client by the network operator using a network management system 607. The connection will typically span more than one layer network. A trail connects termination points across a layer network in
35 order to convey information between the two termination points. In order to manage the trails the network is provided with a trail manager which forms part of the network management system 607. The trail manager comprises a trail database which stores data describing connections among trail termination points and different layer networks such that a complete client connection can be described. The trail manager manages

the network establishing, modifying and releasing connections among the termination points in response to client requests.

5 Synchronisation refers to the requirement that the transmit and receive ends of the connection operate at the same clock rate so that bits are not misread. The receiver may derive its timing from the incoming line or from an external source for example to achieve bit synchronisation.

10 Synchronisation for an SDH network is provided from at least one Primary Reference Source (PRS) or Clocks (PRC). The signal is passed through the SDH network elements and can be regenerated with Synchronisation Source/Distribution Units (SSUs).

15 The objective of synchronisation is to keep timing of the source and receive clocks in step. Differences in timing at network elements within a network will cause the receiving NE to either drop or reread information sent to it. This is referred to as slip. Other symptoms of misalignment of the synchronisation are jitters and wanders which can be caused by laser and clock rates changing.

20 The present invention provides the ability to view the network synchronisation plan or the synchronisation path or trail for each NE in the network. The invention also provides analysis of the path by looking for loops, islands and number of hops between NE and an external source as these might cause the above problems.

25 The network synchronisation plan can be defined as a series of synchronisation paths (one for each NE in the network) or trails within an additional layer network (the timing layer or section) which describe the synchronisation of the network. A synchronisation path for an NE describes the connectivity between the NE and its synchronisation Primary Reference Source, by depicting all the NE's involved in the path, linked
30 together in a chain back to the PRS. The synchronisation plan also includes the sync source hierarchy for each NE and any available quality levels associated with each source.

35 A synchronisation loop occurs in the network when an NE's timing source or reference can be traced back to itself. A synchronisation island occurs when an NE or a group of NEs does not have the sync source or reference connected to the rest of the network or a Primary Reference Source.

40 The Synchronisation Manager (SM) obtains the following data on NE's within the network:

- the active input timing signal.

- the input timing signal priorities (e.g. primary, secondary sources etc.).
- the output timing signals.
- the quality levels of the input and output signals.

5 In addition, the SM requires physical connectivity data for the complete network, including the Sync Source Units. This must either be provided by the user using a trail database for example or the Synchronisation Manager may be integrated into a Trail Manager application which is able to provide this data.

10 The Sync information is gathered from the network by Managed Object Agents MOAs which are related to different types of NEs . Within an element controller, a managed
 15 object agent (MOA) implements management of the network elements according to instructions received from a network manager. The managed object agent uses data in the templates to manage the network elements. The sync information is transported up
 20 to the Trail Database whereupon the SM processes and analyses this data to derive the sync plan of the network, which can be displayed to a requesting user on the User
 25 Interface. Figure 3 shows the architecture involved in this mechanism.

Figure 4 shows the Synchronisation arrangements in an STM-1 ADD/DROP
 MULTIPLEXER shelf for example where the possible input to the timing circuit is 2
 30 MHz clock extracted from the Optical line, the 2 MHz clock supplied by an SSU or the internal timing source of the shelf.

In a typical network, a single Primary Reference Clock (occasionally another is used
 for redundancy) is used as the master timing reference for the network. All SDH
 Network Elements are referenced to this source. The Network synchronisation
 35 topology will ideally be designed to provide NEs with alternative synchronisation trails to the PRC for back-up in the event of failure of the original route.

Synchronisation is normally passed along the synchronisation trails in master-slave
 fashion with each NE responsible for forwarding synchronisation to the next NE in the
 40 chain.

Each NE unilaterally chooses its synchronisation source based on a synchronisation
 source selection algorithm or alternatively by manual intervention. For redundancy
 purposes, a network has more than one access point for a PRC or a duplicate PRC.
 45 However the synchronisation flow is so set up that all NEs normally derive synchronisation via one access point/PRC.

Each Network Element Clock is locked to an external synchronisation signal traceable back to the PRC. As synchronisation is passed along the chain it may become impaired due to jitter and wander accumulation from the Network Element clocks through which it passes. At specific points along the synchronisation trail the clock is extracted and filtered in high performance clock equipment known as Synchronisation Source Supply units (SSUs), before being reinserted back into the trail.

The actual locations at which the SSUs are deployed within a network are dictated by the size of the synchronisation network. A synchronisation path can travel through a number of clocks of different types, the maximum number of SSU and NE clocks types allowed per path is set by G.805.

In the event of a failure in the synchronisation trail, and where no back-up route is available, a node and any nodes slaved from it may become isolated from the PRC. The highest quality node closest to the PRC normally becomes the master clock for the trail, and by entering holdover mode, will maintain alignment with the reference until the route to the PRC is restored.

The synchronisation function within the Network Element provides timing both internally for use within the Network Element itself, and externally at the external synchronisation Port(s) for incorporation with specific synchronisation equipment (e.g. SSUs).

To compute the sync trails/paths and to analyse the sync paths for loops and islands, the Synchronisation Manager requires information on the physical connectivity between NEs in the network and the actual sync source configuration for each NE.

Preferably the Synchronisation Manager interfaces with a trail database (DB) provided by a Trail Manager application which provides the network elements physical connectivity.

To ensure that the SM is consistent with the network data, the DB is updated when an NE changes its sync source. This can be achieved by the NEs asynchronously notifying their controllers, whom in turn notify the SM that the sync source has changed. The SM then requests the new information from the NE and updates the DB.

Preferably an Audit facility which periodically looks for changed and new trails within the network could be utilised to also look for changes in the synchronisation information.

The trail database, in addition to physical connectivity, will require additional information to model the required synchronisation information. Preferably this information is provided in the form of templates representative of predetermined configurations. This includes new endpoint templates for each of the four possible timing sources (tributary, aggregate, external and internal), a new Network Element template for the SSU NE, and new connectivity rule templates. The disclosure of applicants co-pending US application 09/010387 is referred to for further discussion on applicant's template mechanism.

- 10 When displaying the sync paths for the complete Network, the user is given the ability to analyse the sync paths, looking for islands and loops.

The sync analysis algorithm performs the following tasks and analysis:

- Identify Timing Islands.
- 15 - Identify Timing Loops.
- Count the number of hops from start of a sync trail to PRC.
- Count the number of hops in between SSUs.

- 20 Figure 5 shows a flow chart of the algorithm. The algorithm preferably uses the concept of leafNodes. leafNodes are NEs at the "edge" of the network. For efficiency reasons it is preferable to start the Sync trail search from leafNodes. This eliminates re-visiting nodes and hence improves the performance of the algorithm. The list of leafNodes is created each time the algorithm is run. Alternatively, the list is maintained and updated if necessary so that the starting points in the Sync Trail search is identified.
- 25

The algorithm 'tags' the NEs that have already been involved in the Sync Trail and 'labels' each NE as

- OK if the trail ends up with PRC
- 30 - ISLAND if trail is not traceable back to PRC.
- LOOP if sync source is traced back to an NE that is already in the sync path.
- DERIVED_LOOP if the NE receives its sync source from a LOOP.

- 35 Initially the first NE in the list is chosen. A check is made to see if this NE was involved in the analysis before. If NE is not 'tagged' then it is picked as a start of Sync Trail search and if the NE was tagged the Data Base is worked through 'in order' until an NE is found that is not tagged. When the complete list of NEs is covered the algorithm terminates.

40

The NE is first tagged so that in the next NE search it is not picked. This NE is now start of sync trail search. Until proven otherwise this NE is considered as a LeafNode i.e. an NE at the edge of the network. An object is made to hold this NEs id, the number of NE hops to PRC and intermediate SSUs and all the NE IDs that connect this NE to PRC. The *PRCcount* is the sum of all SSU counts. List of all *leafNodes* are maintained.

There are a few reasons why the sync source of an NE can not be found. Either the NE is in fact a PRC in which case the sync trail terminates and the object attributes are updated. Or the NE drives the source internally from its local oscillator. This will be translated as the trail of NEs are forming a Timing Island.

If the timing source and also the NE it is coming from is detected then a check is first made to see if the NE supplying the sync has been visited before. If the answer is yes then a second check is made to see if the NE was part of sync trail island or loop identified earlier. If the NE supplying the sync source was itself part of the timing loop or island then all NEs identified as leading up to this NE will be marked as such.

If the NE has not been visited before then it is first tagged and then a check is made to see if it is an SSU or a PRC.

If the trail has reached an NE where the NE is not a PRC and the NE is not sourced from any other NE, this indicates that all NEs in this trail can not be traced back to PRC and hence the trail is a Timing Island. All NEs in this trail are then labelled accordingly. NEs which are part of sync trail traceable back to PRC are labelled as 'OK'.

If the source NE has been visited before, a check is made to see if this NE was labelled as being part of an Island or Loop. All NEs in the sync trail are then labelled as members of a timing loop. If NE is not labelled and the sync trail search has ended up on the same NE then criteria is met for a Timing loop detection and the NE is labelled as "LOOP". The label for the all NEs in the sync trail is then applied accordingly.

The number of hops from a selected NE back to PRC is calculated and also the number of NEs in between SSUs. A check is then made to see if numbers are below specified thresholds.

In the network of Figure 6 the PRC source for the sub-network has failed. Node G has reverted to its secondary sync source. The algorithm considers nodes A and K as leafNodes. Assuming we start from an arbitrary point in the list of NEs (say F) then we

detect E as being the sync source for F and D for E etc. Because the sync trail is in a loop the search comes back to node F. None of the nodes at this point are labelled. Node F is already been visited so it is labelled as "LOOP".

5 The network of Figure 7 is a valid sync configuration. Assuming the search starts at node E, the sync trail is terminated successfully at PRC. The next sync trail search could start from:

- Node D which is traced back to E which is already visited. E is labelled as OK so search stops.
- 10 - Node C. C is traced back to K which is already visited.

To populate the Database with synchronisation data, preferably templates which describe the NEs and what they support are sent, then the actual data is sent in the form of Endpoints and Connections. The templates include sync data and the sync sources of the NE are represented as connections when the connection data is sent up.

15

The synchronisation information required from the NEs and hence to be reported by the MOAs to the DB includes:

- 20 - The active sync source;
- Sync source hierarchy;
- Quality level of the NE (the derived quality level);
- The output sync signal and where appropriate the output source hierarchy.
- 25 - Notification of Sync source changes.

Templates are static data, held by the MOAs and the preferred connectivity manager, which describe or model particular parts of an NE (e.g. the NE itself, Endpoints, CTP groups etc.). EndPoints are registered as instances of templates, which they refer to and are normally anchored in the physical layer. An example of a template, up-issued to include the synchronisation information, for the STM-1 ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER NE is shown in Figure 8.

30

35 The trail template data is used to model the TN-IX NEs endpoints and connection rules. A new timing section layer (TS) is included. This is not a change to the template mechanism, just the static template data. This new layer models the synchronisation connections on the network elements. The sync signals passed around the network can then be modelled as sync trails using the connections within this layer.

40

In the STM-1 ADD/DROP MULTIPLEXER example, the existing templates for the Aggregate port and Trib ports need to be adjusted for the addition of the sync layer. And new templates for External 2MHz clocks (input and output) as well as the internal sync source and the PLL input are defined.

The endpoint that represents the NE's timing port (Phase Lock loop) can have a number of connections one for each priority in the sync hierarchy.

CTP group connectivity rules include the following:

- No connection between the sync sources allowed.
- At any one time only one of the sync sources may connect to PLL.
- The output of the PLL may connect to external sync source.
- An input Sync source may connect directly to the external output sync source.
- An input Sync source must not connect directly to the external output sync source as well as the PLL.

The endpoint that represents the NE's timing port (Phase Lock Loop) can have a number of connections one for each priority in the sync hierarchy.


All EndPoint information is sent up by the MOA to SM and the Endpoints refer to unique template numbers. Endpoints are defined for all Sync source points as shown in the example in Figure 9.

There are two categories of templates, these are Endpoint and CTP group templates. Endpoint templates are static data held by the MOAs describing a particular type of an EndPoint and CTP templates describe the connectivity capabilities between Endpoint templates.

Endpoint templates are used for all External Source Input (ESI), External Source Output (ESO) ports, as well as for the Phase Lock Loop attribute, this will contain the timing qualities of the network element. CTP group templates are also defined to identify the type and range of get and set operations that can be performed in the synchronization domain.

Logical endpoints or ports represent the Phase Lock Loop (PLL) which represents the quality of timing for the Network Element. Endpoints or ports also represent the External Source Input and Outputs ports. The new ports are enrolled along with the existing card ports.

The synchronisation data such as the active source and quality levels are requested via the get connections request to the MOA. An audit process runs periodically to obtain this data.



Claims

1. In a communications network comprising a plurality of network elements, a method of providing management data describing synchronisation trail information for said network elements, said method comprising the steps of:
- obtaining network element synchronisation data;
 - obtaining network element connectivity data;
 - deriving synchronisation trail information for said network elements from said synchronisation data and said connectivity data.
2. A data representation of a physical resource operating in accordance with a protocol having a plurality of layers, the representation further comprising a timing layer representing synchronisation trail information.
3. In a communications network comprising a plurality of network elements, said network elements comprising a plurality of physical resources organised into a plurality of types of pre-configured structures, a method of providing management data describing synchronisation trail information of said network elements, comprising the steps of:
- representing said plurality of physical resources by a plurality of reference data;
 - and representing synchronisation trails within said network by a plurality of synchronisation reference data.
4. A management system of managing synchronisation for a network comprising a plurality of physical resources, said system comprising:
- data storage means for storing;
 - reference data representing connectivity between said resources;
 - and synchronisation reference data representing synchronisation trails to each resource.
5. A method of exploring synchronisation trails within a network comprising a plurality of network elements, the method comprising the steps of:
- obtaining network element synchronisation data;
 - obtaining network element connectivity data;
 - deriving synchronisation trail information from a network element and following the trail to the synchronisation source of the element, using said synchronisation data and said connectivity data.

5

10




Fig 2




Fig 3




Fig 4




Fig 5




Fig 6




Fig 7




Fig 8




Fig 9.

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **Synchronisation Modelling Using Templates and Network Management System**, the specification of which:

- ☒ is attached hereto.
- ☐ was filed on _____ as
Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____ if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section

119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

<u>Country</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Priority Claimed</u>	
			<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
<u>United Kingdom</u>	<u>9910131.3</u>	<u>30 April 1999</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

<u>Application Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

And I hereby appoint Wm. Marshall Lee, Registration No. 16,853, John M. Mann, Registration No. 17,775, Thomas E. Smith, Registration No. 18,243, Dennis M. McWilliams, Registration No. 25,195, James R. Sweeney, Registration No. 18,721, William M. Lee, Jr., Registration No. 26,935, Glenn W. Ohlson, Registration No. 28,455, David C. Brezina, Registration No. 34,128, Jeffrey R. Gray, Registration No.


105231D: Bevan AG

33,391, Timothy J. Engling, Registration No. 39,970, Gregory B. Beggs, Registration No. 19,286, Gerald S. Geren, Registration No. 24,528 and Peter J. Shakula, Registration No. 40,808 as my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith. It is requested that all communications be directed to Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson, P.O. Box 2786, Chicago, Illinois 60690-2786, telephone number (312) 368-1300.


I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor: **Andrew G Bevan**

Signature



Date



Country of Residence: **UK**

Country of Citizenship: **UK**

Post Office and Residence Address: **9 John Gooch Drive**
Enfield, Middlesex,
EN2 8HF, United Kingdom

Full name of joint inventor: Nigel R Davis

Signature N.R. Davis Date 16/8/99

Country of Residence: UK

Country of Citizenship: UK

Post Office and Residence Address: 25 Whitchurch Garden
Edgware, London HA8 6PF
United Kingdom

Full name of joint inventor: Richard Borrett

Signature R. Borrett Date 16/8/99

Country of Residence: UK

Country of Citizenship: UK

Post Office and Residence Address: 219 Beech Road
St Albans, Hertfordshire
AL3 5AJ, United Kingdom